| I | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership | | | | | |
| 2 | Including Professional Corporations JENNIFER G. REDMOND, Cal. Bar No. 144790 | | | | | |
| 3 | redmond@sheppardmullin.com | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Telephone: 415-434-9100 Facsimile: 415-434-3947 | | | | | |
| 8 | Attornova for Defendents | | | | | |
| 9 | Attorneys for Defendants GEM SERVICES USA, INC., GEM SERVICES, | | | | | |
| 10 | INC., RICHARD KULLE, SHARON KIMB and ARTHUR LAU | OLE . | | | | |
| 11 | LINUTED CTATEC DICTRICT COLIDT | | | | | |
| 12 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | |
| 14 | ANDDEW DENC As individual | Cose No. CV 12 0574 EID | | | | |
| 15 | ANDREW PENG, An individual, NEINCHIU WANG, A.K.A., GRACE | Case No. CV 12-0574 EJD | | | | |
| 16 | WANG, an individual, | STIPULATION AND [FROP SEAD] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS | | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiffs, | The Honorable Edward J. Davila | | | | |
| 18 | V. | | | | | |
| 19 | GEM SERVICES, INC., a Cayman Island corporation, GEM SERVICES USA, INC. | | | | | |
| 20 | a California corporation, RICHARD KULLE, an individual, | | | | | |
| 21 | SHARON KIMBLE, an individual, ARTHUR LAU, an individual, and DOES | | | | | |
| 22 | 1–10, inclusive, | | | | | |
| 23 | Defendants. | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | net and conferred regarding ADR and have | | | | |
| 26 | reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5: | | | | | |
| 27 | | . ADD | | | | |
| 28 | The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process: | | | | | |
| | - Cose No. CV 12 0574 EID | -1- STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER SELECTING | | | | |
| | Case No. CV 12-0574 EJD W02-WEST:5YES1\404950310.1 | ADR PROCESS | | | | |

| 1 | | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Court Processes: | | | |
| 3 | □ Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4) | | | |
| 4 | Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5) | | | |
| 5 | ☐ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6) | | | |
| 6 | (Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a | | | |
| 7 | Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of | | | |
| 8 | ADR must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must | | | |
| 9 | instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and | | | |
| 10 | ADR L.R. 3-5) | | | |
| 11 | Private Process: | | | |
| 12 | X Private ADR (please identify process and provider): Private | | | |
| 13 | Mediation. Parties working to determine provider. | | | |
| 14 | | | | |
| 15 | The parties agree to hold the ADR session by: | | | |
| 16 | the presumptive dedutine (The dedutine is 50 days from the | | | |
| 17 | date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) | | | |
| 18 | other requested deadline: | | | |
| 19 | DATED: April 20, 2012 | | | |
| 20 | SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER & HAMPTON | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | By /s/Jennifer G. Redmond | | | |
| 23 | JENNIFER G. REDMOND Attorneys for Defendants | | | |
| 24 | GEM SERVICES USA, INC., GEM SERVICES, | | | |
| 25 | INC., RICHARD KULLE, SHARON KIMBLE and ARTHUR LAU | | | |
| 26 | | | | |
| 27 | | | | |
| 28 | CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PAGE | | | |
| | -2- Case No. CV 12.0574 FID. STIPLII ATION AND PROPOSED ORDER SELECTING | | | |

Case 5:12-cv-00574-EJD Document 34 Filed 04/23/12 Page 3 of 4

| 1 | DATED: April 20, 2012 | | |
|----|---|-------------|---|
| 2 | | LILAW INC. | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | Ву | /s/ J. James Li |
| 5 | | | DR. J. JAMES LI Attorney for Plaintiffs |
| 6 | | | ANDREW PENG and GRACE WANG |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | | | |
| 13 | | | |
| 14 | | | |
| 15 | | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | CONTINUE TO FOLLOWING PA | . GE | |
| 28 | | | |
| | | -3- | |
| | Case No. CV 12-0574 EJD W02-WEST:5YES1\404950310.1 | ST | IPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS |

Case 5:12-cv-00574-EJD Document 34 Filed 04/23/12 Page 4 of 4

| 1 | The parties' stipulation is adopted and IT IS SO ORDERED. The parties' stipulation is modified as follows, and IT IS SO ORDERED. | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | The parties shall select a mediator by May 1, 2012 | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | DATED:April 23, 2012 | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | =000.00 | | |
| 13 | By EQUOLA HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA | | |
| 14 | U.S. District Court Judge, Northern District of California | | |
| 15 | Camonia | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | | | |
| 19 | | | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | Case No. CV 12-0574 EJD W02-WEST:5YES1\404950310.1 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS | | |
| | W02-WEST:5YES1\404950310.1 ADR PROCESS | | |